

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, DC 20463

<u>VIA CERTIFIED MAIL</u> RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

OCT 1 7 2006

Charles Spies, Esquire Election Law Counsel Republican National Committee 310 First Street, SE Washington, DC 20003

RE: MUR 5604

William D. Mason

Friends of William D. Mason and

Thomas Regas, in his official

capacity as treasurer,

Kerry-Edwards 2004, Inc. and Robert Farmer, in his official

capacity as treasurer

Dear Mr. Spies:

On September 26, 2006, the Federal Election Commission reviewed the allegations in your complaint dated November 2, 2004, and found that on the basis of the information provided in your complaint, and information provided by the Respondents, there is no reason to believe Friends of William D. Mason and Thomas Regas, in his official capacity as treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 433, 434, 441d, 441d(a)(3), 441d(c), 441i(f)(1), and 26 U.S.C. § 9001 et. seq., no reason to believe that William D. Mason violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 433, 434, 441d, and 26 U.S.C. § 9001 et. seq., and no reason to believe Kerry-Edwards 2004, Inc. and Robert Farmer, in his official capacity as treasurer, violated 26 U.S.C. § 9001 et. seq. Accordingly, on September 26, 2006, the Commission closed the file in this matter.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. See Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files, 68 Fed. Reg. 70,426 (Dec. 18, 2003). A copy of the General Counsel's Report and a Statement of Reasons explaining the Commission's decision will follow.

Charles Spies, Esquire MUR 5604 Page 2

The Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended, allows a complainant to seek judicial review of the Commission's dismissal of this action. See 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(8).

Sincerely,

Lawrence H. Norton

General Counsel

BY: Lawrence L. Calvert, Jr.

Deputy Associate General Counsel

for Enforcement